

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0	1	JUL	2005
WIPO				DCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
0000054809		See Politi POI/IP EA/410				
International application No. International filing data PCT/EP2004/006893 25.06.2004		lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.07.2003			
International Patent Classification (I	PC) or national classification and IP		<u></u>			
C07D213/803, C07D213/80, C07D401/04						
Applicant						
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCH	AFT et al.					
	onal preliminary examination repand transmitted to the applicant		s International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 5 sheets, including the	is cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accomp	panied by ANNEXES, comprising	g:				
a. 🗆 sent to the applica	nt and to the International Burea	au) a total of sheets, a	s follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	·	ich this Authority cons	iders contain an amendment that goes			
beyond the dis	Sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the Internal						
	sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indicate	ations relating to the following ite	ems:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of	f the opinion					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-es	tablishment of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of	unity of invention					
	ed statement under Article 35(2 bility; citations					
☐ Box No. VI Certain	documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain	defects in the international appl	ication				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain	observations on the internation	al application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report			
30.04.2005		30.06.2005				
Name and mailing address of the i	nternational	Authorized Officer	thes Patente			
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Of	fice	Telephone No. +49 89	allies and			
D-80298 Munich						
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 6) Tx: 523656 epmu d 4465	Scrudou-Eva	US . I. Supposes sould suppo			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006893

	Вох	x No. I Basis of the report				
1.		With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language, anslation furnished for the purposes of: er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4)			
			examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	hav		the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this a not annexed to this report):			
	Des	scription, Pages				
	1-12	2	as originally filed			
	Clai	ims, Numbers				
	1-49	Э	as originally filed			
		a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.		The amendments have resu	lited in the cancellation of:			
		☐ the claims, Nos.				
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spe				
		any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):			
4.			ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).			
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (specified)	ecify):			
		any table(s) related to se				
	*	II Item 4 applies, so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-49

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-49

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-49

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/006893

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents cited in the search report are referred to in this communication;

D1: US-A-5 378 843 (STRONG HENRY L) 3 January 1995 (1995-01-03)

D2: US-A-5 614 635 (MILLER PAUL E) 25 March 1997 (1997-03-25)

D3: US-A-5 334 576 (DOEHNER JR ROBERT F ET AL) 2 August 1994 (1994-08-02)

D4: US-A-6 080 867 (WU WEN-XUE) 27 June 2000 (2000-06-27)

With regard to the requirement for novelty (Article 33(2) of the PCT), none of the documents D1-D4 discloses the use of an oxidising agent for the in-situ removal of impurities from a saponified solution of a pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid ester as required by independent claims 1,16 and 33 of the present application. Article 33(2) of the PCT thus appears to have been satisfied.

With regard to the requirement for inventive step, the problem underlying the present application has been stated to have been the provision of a method of improving product quality of pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acids. The solution provided by the Applicant is the removal of impurities during the manufacturing process by the addition of an oxidising agent to the saponified solution of the diester. One general example has been given, without specification of which diester was used, whereby a purity of 98.9% was obtained. The man skilled in the art, faced with the problem as defined above, would have had no direct incentive from the prior art to use an oxidising agent as required by the application, but the technical features of the independent claims are not considered to be sufficiently detailed to draw the conclusion that all subject matter falling within the claims could be expected to provide a solution to the problem. Specifically, the diester is only specified in claims 15,31 and 48, the "amount effective to remove impurities" is only specified when for hydrogen peroxide in claims 7,8,22,23,39,40 and the nature of the oxidising agent only in claims 4,19,36. Also the use of terms such as "from a darker colour to a lighter colour" in claims 9,24 and 41 are considered to be subjective, and not technical features. Thus before an inventive step can be acknowledged, it is considered that all of the essential technical features should be included in the independent claims,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006893

such that it is plausible that all subject matter claimed satisfies the requirements of Article 33(3) of the PCT.